

### The Hi-Drive Driving Scenario Database

Marcel Sonntag, ika



### Effects evaluation in the FESTA V

FESTA implementation plan adapted for

# **Hi**-Drive



References:

v1.0 DRAFT for website.pdf

Hi-Drive Deliverable D4.5 (2023), https://www.hi-drive.eu/app/uploads/2023/08/Hi-Drive-SP4-D4.5-Effects-evaluation-methods-

Hi-Drive

### Technical Evaluation – Research Questions

### Effect on availability of AD

- To what extent do the enablers **extend** the AD **functionality**?
- To what extent do enablers enhance AD robustness?

### **Effect on driving behaviour**

- What is the effect of AD and its enablers on ...
  - Safe, Comfortable, Efficient driving behaviour?
  - Interacting with other road users?
  - ...

We want to **evaluate AD and its enablers**, not just single implementations/systems  $\rightarrow$  Joint evaluation of the data

**Challenge**: Different operations in different environments and use cases with different enablers, different recorded signals with different quality

### Hi-Drive

### Concept of the Technical Evaluation



### Impact Assessment – Research Questions

#### Impacts in different impact areas

- What is the impact of AD and its enablers on ...
  - Safety?
  - Energy demand, emissions, traffic efficiency?
  - Personal mobility, the transport system?

### Results combined in socio-economic impact assessment

- What is the overall **socio-economic impact** (net welfare effect) of AD and its enablers?
- How does AD affect the **welfare** of different stakeholders in society and **social equity**?

### We want to assess the impacts of AD and its enablers for the European Union

The assessment is **based on simulations** 

 $\rightarrow$  Operation data is used to calibrate the used models

#### Hi-Drive

### Example: Safety Impact Assessment – RQ and Approaches

- 1. What is the impact of AD and its enablers on safety in different driving scenarios?
- 2. What are the **indirect** impacts of AD and its enablers on safety?
- 3. What is the impact of AD and its enablers on safety at European level?

### 1. Effect in the scenario

**Hi**-Drive

#### Input Data 1<sup>st</sup> Preparing Input for scaling-up 1<sup>st</sup> Preparing Assessment In-depth accident databases Assessment AD & ADAS Functions Road Data Crash Database 2<sup>nd</sup> Input from 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario Crash Rate & Severity Scenario Frequency Traffic Scenario **Driving Scenario** (Motorway, Urban, Rural) Simulation (Motorway, Urban) (Motorway, Urban) description (Motorway, Urban, Rural) 3<sup>rd</sup> Execution of Scaling-up Tool 3<sup>rd</sup> Execution of Simulation Tool Scaling up (VTT In-house Tool) simulation (openPASS, esmini+Py, VISSIM & ika, LAB, TU Delft in-house etc.) Indirect Impact Direct impact 4<sup>th</sup> Analysis per 4<sup>th</sup> Evaluation of Crash Probability & Frequency of Input to (Mechanisms 1, 2 & 5) (Mech. 3, 4, 6, 7 & 8) Mechanism simulation **Driving Scenarios** scaling-up Severity IRF RQ 3: What are the indirect RQ 2: What is the impact of AD 5<sup>th</sup> Overall Deliverable RQ 1: What is the impact of AD and its **Deliverable** and its enablers on safety at impacts of AD and its 5<sup>th</sup> Results **D7.3** Results enablers on safety at European level? enablers on safety? **D7.3 European level?** 6<sup>th</sup> Plausibility Sensitivity Analysis Validation check Using the data *indirectly*

2. Scaling up

### To allow a joint evaluation...

### ...we require harmonized processes

Harmonized data format for data provision

Common Data Format (CDF) as the mandatory data exchange format between Operation

Owners and Evaluation Partner

Harmonised evaluation toolchain

Collaborative development

**Hi**-Drive

• Consistent data evaluation across multiple operations

### The Hi-Drive Common Data Format (CDF) as a Basis for the Joint Evaluation

#### **Challenges for data harmonisation in Hi-Drive**

- Diverse dedicated experiments
  - On-road tests
  - Test track studies
- Differences in system setup and data logging
- Additional signals from enablers
  - V2X
  - High precision positioning
- Enabler-focused research questions
  - → Dedicated performance indicators
  - → More complex driving scenarios



Data delivered by Pilot leaders

Data computed by Pilot data processing partners

The Common Data Format from L3Pilot is available via Github: *github.com/l3pilot/l3pilot-cdf* 

### Data Processing Flow



### Scenario-based Evaluation of Automated Driving in Hi-Drive

- Segmenting the trip into instances of defined driving scenarios
- Deriving Performance Indicators per instance of a driving scenario



- Comparing Performance Indicators of Treatment with Baseline (Technical Evaluation)
- Storing the driving scenario instances in the DSDB and identify Edge Cases
- Using the DSDB e.g. for calibration of models for impact assessment

**Hi**-Drive

Detailed time series data required!

### To allow the scenario-based evaluation...

## ...we require harmonized concepts

Harmonized driving scenario concept

• Hi-Drive driving scenario concept based on 6-layer model

Joint database

• Driving scenario database (DSDB)



### The Hi-Drive Driving Scenario Concept

- Main **purpose** is to fulfil the needs of technical evaluation and impact assessment
- The **6-layer model** by Scholtes et al. (2021) was used as a reference
  - **Layer 4** (dynamic objects) defines the driving scenarios
  - The other layers define situational variables / tags to further specify the driving scenario instances



References:

Scholtes, M. et al. (2021). 6-Layer Model for a Structured Description and Categorization of Urban Traffic and Environment. IEEE Access, 9, 59131–59147. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2021.3072739</u>

Illustration taken from Weber, H. et al. (2023). Holistic Driving Scenario Concept for Urban Traffic. 2023 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), Anchorage, AK, USA, 2023, pp. 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/IV55152.2023.10186385</u>

#### **Hi Drive**

### The Hi-Drive Driving Scenario Structure Defined by Layer 4



Name	Label	Parent	Description	Pictogram
Approaching Longitudinally Moving Object	in_lane_appro aching_long_m oving	in_lane_app roaching	The ego vehicle is following a lane and is approaching an object that is driving in the same lane.	
Approaching Laterally Moving Object	in_lane_appro aching_lat_mo ving	in_lane_app roaching	The ego vehicle is following a lane and is approaching a laterally moving object at a road section that is not near a crossing.	
Cut-in with a Rear-End Conflict	in_lane_cut_i n_rear_end	in_lane_cut _in	The ego vehicle is following a lane and another object is doing a cut- in that results in a rear-end conflict.	

Initial collection of required driving scenarios for evaluation
→ Harmonization and structuring

First separation based on ego movement relative to infrastructure

### → Driving in Lane, Changing Lane, Crossing, Turning

Further separation based on detailed ego behaviour and interaction with other road users

### ightarrow 36 specific driving scenarios

Full concept published on Zenodo: https://zenodo.org/record/8207762

### Challenges Regarding DSDB

### Challenges

- Data sharing
  - Anonymization of data / avoid being identifiable (avoid benchmarking and reengineering)
  - GDPR compliance
- Data availability
  - Many instances per driving scenario from different locations needed to have a representative DB
- Filtering
  - Allow easy usage of the high amount of data
- Interoperability to other solutions
- EC detection

**Hi**-Drive

- Data quality
- Amount of data



### The data are provided via the DSDB...

### ...but there is more to use the DSDB for

Edge case analysis

Test case derivation

. . .



### Collecting Driving Scenarios & Edge Cases from Experimental Data

- Apart from evaluating the effects of enablers, we collect driving scenarios in a dedicated database and investigate the data for **edge cases**
- We consider all operations as potentially delivering edge cases
- External data sources serve as additional data
  - Reusing L3Pilot data
  - FOT, NDS & Traffic observation







### Edge Cases and Corner Cases

### **Hi-Drive Definition**

 An Edge Case is a driving scenario instance that is rare but still requires specific design attention for it to be dealt with by the AV in a reasonable and safe way. The quantification of "rare" is relative, and generally refers to situations or conditions that will occur often enough in a full-scale deployed fleet to be a problem if not addressed appropriately.

Edge cases can appear due to extreme parameters:

- Edge Cases may arise from single parameters taking up values that are out of their expected range.
- **Corner Case: Multiple parameters** may take up values which are close to the boundary of their expected ranges creating a combination that was initially not expected or tested.



Param x

Challenge: No common understanding of what makes a driving scenario instance an edge case due to different understanding and driving functions

Hi-Drive 1st Summer School, SP7 DSDB

### Driving Scenario and Edge Case Framework

### Challenges:

- We probably will not achieve one understanding of Edge Cases
- Due to the nature of edge cases, we might find only a few or none
- → Data engineering toolchain will need to host **different approaches** on how to get to edge cases



### ...so, we still got some work to do



### THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION.

Marcel Sonntag, M.Sc. ika RWTH Aachen University <u>marcel.sonntag@ika.rwth-</u> aachen.de

www.Hi-Drive.eu Twitter@\_HiDrive\_ LinkedInHi-Drive

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101006664.



